UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No.

DONALD BRISLIN, President and Officer of DUBLIN INTERNAL MEDICINE, PC, Defendant.

COMPLAINT TO ENFORCE INTERNAL REVENUE SUMMONS

For its Complaint to Enforce Internal Revenue Summons, the United States of America alleges as follows:

- This proceeding is brought pursuant to 26 U.S.C. (Internal Revenue Code (I.R.C.))
 §§ 7402(b) and 7604(a) and Local Rule 4.1.2(b) to judicially enforce an Internal Revenue
 summons issued pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7602.
- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402(b) and 7604(a) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7604(a) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1396.
- Dublin Internal Medicine, P.C., is a Pennsylvania professional corporation organized under the laws of Pennsylvania and having a place of business in Bucks County, Pennsylvania.
- Defendant Donald Brislin is the President and sole officer of Dublin Internal Medicine.
 Brislin resides in Perkasie, Pennsylvania, within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 6. The Secretary of the Treasury and the Commissioner of Internal Revenue are charged with the responsibility of administering and enforcing the Internal Revenue Code. To

adequately administer and enforce federal tax laws, the Secretary of the Treasury is authorized by 26 U.S.C. § 7601 to,

to the extent he deems it practicable, cause officers or employees of the Treasury Department to proceed, from time to time, through each internal revenue district and inquire after and concerning all persons therein who may be liable to pay any internal revenue tax

§ 7601(a).

- 7. Section 7601 "gives the Internal Revenue Service a broad mandate to investigate and audit 'persons who *may* be liable' for taxes." *United States v. Bisceglia*, 420 U.S. 141, 145 (1975) (emphasis in original).
- 8. Section 7602 of the Internal Revenue Code provides the IRS with its authority to issue summonses. It states, in relevant part, that, "[f]or the purpose of ascertaining the correctness of any return, making a return where none has been made, [or] determining the liability of any person for any internal revenue tax . . ." the IRS is authorized to "examine any books, papers, records, or other data which may be relevant or material to such inquiry," and to summon any person liable for tax, or any officer or employee of such person, or any person having possession of relevant records, and to take such person's testimony under oath. See 26 U.S.C. § 7602(a).
- 9. Patricia Reuter is a duly commissioned Revenue Officer ("RO") employed by the Small Business/Self-Employed Division Central Compliance Area of the Internal Revenue Service at 200 Lakeside Drive, Suite 220, Horsham, PA 19044. (See Declaration of Patricia Reuter filed with this petition at ¶ 1.) RO Reuter is authorized to issue Internal Revenue summonses pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 7602 and 26 C.F.R. § 301.7602-1.
- 10. An investigation by the Internal Revenue Service is in process; namely, RO Reuter is conducting an investigation into the federal corporate income tax liabilities (Forms 1120-

- S) of Dublin Internal Medicine, PC, for the following tax years: 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017. (See Reuter Decl. at ¶ 2.)
- 11. In furtherance of the IRS's investigation described in paragraph 10 above, and in accordance with 26 U.S.C. § 7602, on March 5, 2019, RO Reuter issued an Internal Revenue administrative summons to Brislin as the President and officer of Dublin Internal Medicine, PC, demanding that Brislin produce for examination certain books, papers, records or other data as described in the summons. (*See id.* at ¶ 3.) A true and correct copy of the March 5, 2019 summons issued to Brislin is attached as Exhibit 1 to Reuter's declaration filed in support of this Complaint. (*See id.*; Summons, Ex. 1.)
- 12. The summons directed Brislin to appear before RO Reuter on March 28, 2019, at her office for the purpose of giving testimony and producing for examination the books, papers, records, or other data described in the summons, including documents related to the corporate income tax liability of Dublin Internal Medicine for the 2008 through 2017 tax years. (See Ex. 1.)
- 13. On March 5, 2019, RO Reuter served an attested copy of the summons on Brislin by leaving it at his last and usual place of abode, as set forth in the certificate of service attached to the summons. (See Reuter Decl. at ¶ 4; Certificate of Service, Ex. 1 at 2.) See also 26 U.S.C. § 7603(a).
- 14. On March 28, 2019, Brislin appeared and provided federal corporate income tax returns (Forms 1120-S) for Dublin Internal Medicine, PC, for the 2008, 2009, and 2010 tax years. (Reuter Decl. at ¶ 5.) Brislin did not provide the remaining returns, despite receiving two extensions to do so. (*Id.*)

- 15. Brislin refused to comply with the summons by producing all of the books, papers, records or other data demanded in the summons, despite receiving two extensions from the IRS after March 28, 2019. Specifically, Brislin has not produced documents demanded by the summons relating to the determination of Dublin Internal Medicine's corporate income tax liabilities (Forms 1120-S) for the 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 tax years. His non-compliance continues to this date. (Reuter Decl. at ¶ 6.)
- 16. The books, papers, records, or other data sought by the summons is not already in the possession of the IRS with the exception of those documents set forth in paragraph 14.
 (Id. at ¶ 7.) The IRS does not seek duplicate production of those documents already produced by Brislin.
- 17. The March 5, 2019, summons seeks information that may be relevant to the corporate income tax liabilities of Dublin Internal Medicine, PC. It is necessary to examine the books, papers, records, or other data sought by the summons as part of the IRS's investigation referenced in paragraph 10 of this Complaint. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 8-9.)
- 18. Brislin has not fully complied with the summons and his refusal to comply continues to date.
- 19. All administrative steps required by the Internal Revenue Code for enforcement of the summons have been followed. (*Id.* at ¶ 10.)
- 20. There is no "Justice Department referral" in effect with respect to Brislin. More specifically, no recommendation has been made by the IRS to the Department of Justice for a grand jury investigation or criminal prosecution of Brislin related to the corporate income tax liabilities of Dublin Internal Medicine for the tax years under investigation.

No request has been made under 26 U.S.C. § 6103(h)(3)(B) for the disclosure of any return or return information in connection with a grand jury investigation or potential or pending criminal investigation of Brislin. See 26 C.F.R. § 301.7602-1(c)(2)(ii). (See also Reuter Decl. at ¶ 11.)

21. With the attached declaration of RO Reuter, the United States has satisfied its *prima facie* case for enforcement of the summons. *See United States v. Powell*, 379 U.S. 48, 57-58 (1964).

WHEREFORE, the United States seeks the following relief from the Court and against Brislin:

- That this Court enter an Order directing Defendant Donald Brislin to show cause, if any, why he should not comply with and obey the aforementioned summons issued to him and each and every requirement thereof;
- 2. That the Court include in its order to show cause, referred to above, authorization for Revenue Officer Patricia Reuter, or any other IRS officer or employee, to serve Brislin with the show-cause order, along with a copy of this Complaint and the declaration of RO Reuter;
- 3. That the Court enter a separate enforcement order directing Brislin to obey the aforementioned summons and each and every requirement thereof by ordering his testimony and production of the books, papers, records, and other data, except for those documents he has already produced, as is required and called for by the terms of the summons before Revenue Officer Reuter, or any other proper officer or employee of the Internal Revenue Service, at such time and place as may be fixed by RO Reuter, or any other proper officer or employee of the Internal Revenue Service;

- That the Court award the United States its costs incurred in maintaining this action;
 and
- 5. That the Court grant the United States such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.

Dated: August 20, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM M. McSWAIN United States Attorney

GREGORY B. DAVID

Assistant United States Attorney

Chief, Civil Division

ERIN E. LINDGREN

Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250

Philadelphia, PA 19106 Phone: (215) 861-8564 Fax: (215) 861-8618 Erin.Lindgren@usdoj.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	
v. DONALD BRISLIN, President and Officer of DUBLIN INTERNAL MEDICINE, PC, Defendant.	Civil Action No.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

AND NOW, thisday of, 2019, upon consideration of the
Complaint, the Declaration of Internal Revenue Service Revenue Officer Patricia Reuter and the
exhibit attached thereto, and good cause having been shown:
IT IS ORDERED that DONALD BRISLIN shall appear before the United States District
Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania before the Honorable, the
undersigned, in Courtroomof the U.S. Federal Courthouse, 601 Market Street,
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on the day of, 2019, at:, to show
cause why an Order should not be entered enforcing the Internal Revenue Summons served on
him on March 5, 2019 by Revenue Officer Patricia Reuter of the Internal Revenue Service.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order to Show Cause, together with the
Complaint and Exhibits shall be served upon the Defendant or left at his address with someone
of suitable age and discretion who resides there by Revenue Officer Patricia Reuter or any other
person designated by the Internal Revenue Service.
IT IS ELIDTHED ODDEDED that within 21 days of service of this Order to Show Cause

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that within 21 days of service of this Order to Show Cause, the Complaint, and the Exhibits upon him, Defendant Donald Brislin shall file with the Clerk of Court, and serve on counsel for the United States at the address on the complaint, an answer, motion or other responsive pleading, together with an affidavit in support thereof. Affidavits

filed in opposition to the petition or in support of any motion shall be made on personal knowledge, shall set forth such facts as would be admissible in evidence, and shall show affirmatively that the affiant is competent to testify to the matters stated therein. Any affidavit failing to comply with this standard shall not be considered by the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that only those issues raised in the pleadings or motions and supported by affidavit(s) will be considered by the Court during the hearing of this matter, and any uncontested allegation in the Complaint will be taken as admitted for the purpose of this enforcement proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Defendant has no objection to compliance with the summons served upon him, he shall notify the Court, in a writing filed with the Clerk of Court and served on counsel for the United States at least five (5) days prior to the date of the hearing of this matter that he has no objection to compliance with the summons, then he will not be required to respond or appear as ordered above, and the Court will issue an order enforcing the summons.

9	

BY THE COURT:

J.

Declaration of

Revenue Officer Patricia Reuter

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No.

DONALD BRISLIN, President and Officer of DUBLIN INTERNAL MEDICINE, PC, Defendant.

DECLARATION OF REVENUE OFFICER PATRICIA REUTER

I, Patricia Reuter declare as follows:

- I am a duly commissioned Revenue Officer employed in the Small Business/Self-Employed Division Central Compliance Area of the Internal Revenue Service at 200 Lakeside Drive, Suite 220, Horsham, PA 19044.
- In my capacity as a Revenue Officer, I am conducting an investigation into the determination of the federal corporate income tax liabilities (Forms 1120-S) of Dublin Internal Medicine, PC for the fiscal periods ending December 31, 2008, December 31, 2009, December 31, 2010, December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, December 31, 2014, December 31, 2015, December 31, 2016, and December 31, 2017.
- 3. In furtherance of the above investigation and in accordance with Section 7602 of Title 26, U.S.C., I issued on March 5, 2019 an administrative summons, Internal Revenue Service Form 6638, to Donald Brislin, to give testimony and to produce for examination books, papers, records, or other data as described in said summons. The summons is attached to my declaration as Exhibit 1.
- In accordance with 26 U.S.C. § 7603, on March 5, 2019, I served an attested copy of the Internal Revenue Service summons described in Paragraph (3) above on the

- defendant, Donald Brislin, by leaving the copy at the defendant's last and usual place of abode, as evidenced in the certificate of service on the reverse side of the summons.
- 5. On March 28, 2019, the defendant, Donald Brislin, appeared in response to the summons but did not provide all of the summoned information. The defendant provided corporate income tax returns for tax years 2008, 2009, and 2010. The defendant requested an additional week to the produce the information requested by the summons. The defendant was given until April 10, 2019, to produce the information requested by the summons. On April 9, 2019, the defendant requested additional time to produce the information requested in the summons. The defendant was given until April 30, 2019, to produce the information requested in the summons.
- 6. The defendant's refusal to comply with the summons with respect to the determination of the federal corporate income tax liabilities (Forms 1120-S) of Dublin Internal Medicine, PC for the fiscal periods ending December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, December 31, 2014, December 31, 2015, December 31, 2016, and December 31, 2017 continues to the date of this declaration.
- 7. The books, papers, records, or other data sought by the summons are not already in the possession of the Internal Revenue Service, except for with respect to the 2008, 2009, and 2010 tax years, as set forth above.
- 8. It is necessary to examine the books, papers, records or other data sought by the summons in order to properly determine the federal corporate income tax liability (Forms 1120) of Dublin Internal Medicine, PC for the periods ending December 31,

- 2011, December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, December 31, 2014, December 31,2015, December 31, 2016, and December 31, 2017.
- This investigation is being conducted for a legitimate purpose and the information may be relevant for that purpose.
- All administrative steps required by the Internal Revenue Code for issuance of a summons have been taken.
- 11. As of the date that the summons was issued and served, and as of the day I signed this declaration, there was no Department of Justice referral, as defined by 26 U.S.C.
 § 7602(d)(2), in effect with respect to Donald Brislin.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

Executed this 15 day of August, 2019.

PATRICIA REUTER

Revenue Officer, Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit 1

To Declaration of Revenue Officer Patricia Reuter



Income Tax Return

In the matter of <u>DR. DONA</u> 18917-0263	ALD BRISLIN, TP/OFFICER OF, DUBLIN INTERNAL MEDICINE PC, PO BOX 26	33, DUBLIN, PA		
	Identify Division) SMALL BUSINESS/SELF EMPLOYED			
Industry/Area (Identify by no	umber or name) Small Business / Self Employed	10000000		
Periods: See Attachment 1	to Summons Form 6638 for Period Information			
The Commissioner of Inter				
	TP/OFFICER OF DUBLIN INTERNAL MEDICINE PC			
At: PO BOX 263, DUBLIN, I	PA 18917-0263			
examination the following information	uired to appear before <u>PATRICIA_REUTER</u> , an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) officer, to give to related to the tax liability of the person identified above for the periods shown:			
2016 and 2017	sess or control about income you received for the years: 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 201			
These records and documents inclue arnings statements, and records of	de, but are not limited to: Forms W-2 (Wage and Tax Statement), Forms 1099 for interest and divided for deposit with banks or other financial institutions.	nd income, employee		
interest, rents, royalties, alimony, staindebtedness, distributive shares of	s, documents and receipts for income from, but not limited to, the following sources: wages, salaries, ate or local tax refunds, annuities, life insurance policies, endowment contracts, pensions, estates, the partnership income, business income, gains from dealings in property, and any other compensation by). Include all documents and records about any income you assigned to any other Person or entity.	usts, discharge of		
IRS will use this information to prepare a federal income tax return for the following year(s) when you didn't file a return: 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017				
We have attached a blank return to	guide you in producing the necessary documents and records.			
	Do not write in this space			
Business address and tele	ephone number of IRS officer before whom you are to appear:			
200 LAKESIDE DRIVE, S	SUITE 220, HORSHAM, PA 19044 (215) 344-6593			
Place and time for appe	earance: At 200 LAKESIDE DRIVE, SUITE 220, HORSHAM, PA 19044			
~	_			
on the 28th day of March , 2019 at 10:00 o'clock a m.				
IKS	Issued under authority of the Internal Revenue Code this 5th day of March	, 2019		
Department of the Treasury	\mathcal{L}			
Internal Revenue Service	PATRICIA REUTER TELLES TELLES REVENUE OFFICER			
www.irs.gov	Signature of issuing officer Title			
Form 6638 (Rev.10-2010)				
Catalog Number 61828W	Signature of approving officer (if applicable) Title			

1 . Y



Catalog No. 61828W

Certificate of Service of Summons

(Pursuant to section 7603, Internal Revenue Code)

Date Tuesday, March 5, 20)19		Time 230pm
How		I handed an attested copy of	f the summons to the person to whom it was directed.
Summons			
Was	X	I left an attested copy of the to whom it was directed. I le	e summons at the last and usual place of abode of the person eft the copy with the following person (if any):
Served		AT THE HOME OF THE OF 15 TIFFANY LANE, PERKA	FFICER, DR DONALD BRESLIN ASIE, PA 18944
		5	
ith a rubbe	er-ban	d so no damage was d	l envelope and attached to the front door done to the residential property Title REVENUE OFFICER
,,,			
certify that t	ne cop	y of the summons served o	contained the required certification.
Cianatura			Title
Signature	Lange	a fouter	REVENUE OFFICER

Attachment 1 to Summons Form 6638

In the matter of DR DONALD BRISLIN, TP/OFFICER OF DUBLIN INTERNAL MEDICINE PC

Period information: Form 1120 for the fiscal periods ending December 31, 2008, December 31, 2009, December 31, 2010, December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012, December 31, 2013, December 31, 2014, December 31, 2015, December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2017

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS				
United States of America				Donald Brislin, President and Officer of Dublin Internal Medicine, P.C.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) ODDEMNATION CASES, USE TO LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, AUSA Erin E. Lindgren U.S. Attorney's Office, 61 Philadelphia, PA 19106	5 Chestnut Street, Su			Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
■ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT		RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 42 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights	.TY	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ▼ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Via Original Proceeding State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer Direct File							
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): I.R.C. 7604(a), 26 U.S.C. 7604(a) Brief description of cause: IRS Summons Enforcement							
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND S JURY DEMAND: Yes No							
	VIII. RELATED CASE(S)						
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY (OF RECORD	\mathcal{L}		
08/19/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		AUSA Erin E. L	indgre	n 7h	, just		
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAGJU	DGE	

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 06/17)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Plaintiff, :		
v. :		
DONALD BRISLIN, President and Officer: of DUBLIN INTERNAL MEDICINE, PC, CARE GROUP, LTD., Defendant.		
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel is shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said design defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plain other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which the believes the case should be assigned.	of fi sidenation nation	ling the e of this on, that and all
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2	. ()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special		
management cases.)	()
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	(2	X)
8/19/2019 Date Erin E. Lindgren, Attorney at-law Plaintiff United States of Attorney for	<u>tme</u>	erica
215.861.8564 215.861.8564 Erin.Lindgren@usdoj.gov Telephone Fax Number E-Mail Address		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case 2:19-cv-03749-JP Document 1-1 Filed 08/20/19 Page 4 of 5

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

Case 2:19-cv-03749-JP Document 1-1 Filed 08/20/19 Page 5 of 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250, Philadelphia,	PA 19106			
Address of Defendant:	nt: 15 Tiffany Lane, Perkasie, PA 18944				
	of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Dublin, PA				
Trace of Accident, Herdent of Transaction.					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		21			
Case Number:	Judge: Date Tel	minated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is	s answered to any of the following questions:				
Is this case related to property include previously terminated action in this co	ed in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes ourt?	No √			
Does this case involve the same issue pending or within one year previously	e of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes y terminated action in this court?	No 🗸			
	rinfringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier e year previously terminated action of this court?	No 🗸			
4. Is this case a second or successive hal case filed by the same individual?	beas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes	No 🗸			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within this court except as noted above.	case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one	year previously terminated action in			
DATE: 08/19/2019	This 03	92617(MN)			
	Adorney-at-Ldw / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:				
□ 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts □ 2. FELA □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Antitrust □ 4. Marine Personal Injury □ 5. Patent □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Labor-Management Relations □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): □ 7. Civil Rights □ 7. Products Liability □ 8. Habeas Corpus □ 8. Products Liability – Asbestos □ 9. Securities Act(s) Cases □ 9. All other Diversity Cases □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Marine Personal Injury □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): □ 7. Products Liability – Asbestos □ 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): □ 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): □ 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ 2. Airplane Personal Injury □ 3. Assault, Defamation □ 4. Marine Personal Injury □ 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury □ 6. Other Personal Injury □ 7. Products Liability – Asbestos □ 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify):					
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION					
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) AUSA Erin E. Lindgren , counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs:					
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.					
DATE: 08/19/2019 Grill 0392617 (MN)					
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					